UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,388	07/09/2003	Uppinder S. Babbar	020703	9790	
23696 759 QUALCOMM IN	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHOU	ISE DR.		VU, VIE	VU, VIET DUY	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONT	24	01/16/2007	FLECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/16/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t_ssadik@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/616,388	BABBAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Viet Vu	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2006.					
	, — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-36 and 41-52</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,37-40 and 53-87</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>5-36, 41-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Lighterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2154

Non-Art Rejections:

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following language lacks proper antecedent basis:

In claim 1, line 11, "the mobile system manager", it is not clear as to whether "the mobile system manager" is the same as "a mobile station manger" mentioned in line 4.

The same lacking of proper antecedent basis can also be found in claim 37.

Art Rejections:

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 10/616,388

Art Unit: 2154

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-4, 37-40 and 53-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Lin</u> et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,275,693 in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Per claims 1 and 37, $\underline{\text{Lin}}$ discloses a method and system for provisioning a service to a mobile client comprising:

- a) receiving a provisioning request for a resource by a mobile station manager (proxy 110) from one of the first endpoint (mobile 102) coupled to a wireless interface and second endpoint (provisioning center) (see col 3, line 65 col 4, line 3);
- b) provisioning the resource for the connected entity in response to the provisioning request wherein the resource uniquely identifies the first communication endpoint in the network (col 4, lines 4-20);

Application/Control Number: 10/616,388

Art Unit: 2154

c) establishing a communication state (tunnel) between the first and second endpoints wherein the first communication endpoint communicates messages to the system manager as wireless (TE2-type) signals and wherein the second endpoint communicates to the system manager as network protocol signals (e.g., TCP/IP) (col 3, lines 32-64 and col 4, lines 21-30);

d) adapting the communicated messages between wireless signals and the network protocol signal, i.e., by packet encapsulation (see col 2, lines 54-57).

Lin does not teach providing Rm interface on the mobile device. The use of such Rm interface on mobile device is well known in the art as shown in applicant's disclosure (see page 13, par. 1028).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Rm interface in Lin's mobile device because it would have enabled the mobile device to communicate with other conventional user devices (see present specification in page 13, par. 1028).

Per claims 2 and 38, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that <u>Lin's</u> invention would have been applicable to any conventional Rm interfaces.

Per claims 3-4 and 39, <u>Lin</u> teaches communicating messages between the first endpoint and the mobile station manager using

Art Unit: 2154

any conventional carrier access methods including CDMA, TDMA, etc., (see col 1, lines 59-64).

Per claim 40, it is noted that the provisioning request includes all conventional commands including allocate, release, revoke and update requests.

Claims 53-87 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-4 and 37-40.

Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2154 1/5/07

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER